UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,097	01/18/2002	Jong-Han Kim	678-0797	9866
	7590 11/12/200 L LAW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
333 EARLE OV	VINGTON BOULEVA	HAN, CLEMENCE S		
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE,	NY 11553		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2416	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/052,097	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CLEMENCE HAN	2416	

	CLEMENCE HAN	2416			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 August 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	,.			
(c) $oxed{oxtime}$ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for		
appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	Od. One official Matter of New One		DTOL 004)		
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		imaly filed emandmen	ot concoling the		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable il submitted in a separate, i	imely filed amendmen	it canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	4 la afana an an 4la a data af 615 an a Nia				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a		
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the applic	eation in condition for a	allowance		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. Other:	,				
<u> </u>					
/FIRMIN BACKER/	/C. H./				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416	Examiner, Art Unit 2416				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for drawing objection, the applicant argues that "a detection ACK signal" is the same as "ACK for DRQ" in Figure 3. The specification cited by the applicant, page 8 line 7-9, states "an ACK signal" is the same as "ACK for DRQ". For specification objection, the examiner understood the applicant's argument as saying "they are not the same signals however the "DRQ" signal can be the "reverse DRQ access" signal sometimes." For claim objection, the applicant argues that "detection ACK signal" is the "ACK for DRQ" in Figure 3 and it is clear which signal from the specifications and the drawings matches with the "a detection ACK signal". The specification and the drawing mentions several ACKs and the portion of the specification cited by the applicant, page 8 line 7-9, states "an ACK signal" is the same as "ACK for DRQ". For 102(e) rejection, the applicant repeats the argument presented before about the paging message read on the DRQ message in the claims is unfound. Like the instant invention Rezaiifar teaches the mobile sending DRQ. The "DRQ message" is a signal requesting DRQ signal from the mobile station. Rezaiifar also teaches paging message sent by the base station initiating service negotiation and in turn the mobile sends DRQ. As for the interpretation of "intermittently transmitting DRQ", the Webster dictionary states "intermittently" as coming and going at intervals or not continuous.